Explain the problem and solution to each of these environmental issues: 1. Animal welfare and protection	What is shallow ecology ?	What is deep ecology ?
2. Sustainability	Strengths of shallow ecology: 1.	L I
3. Waste management	2. Weaknesses of shallow ecology:	
4. Climate change		3. Richard Routley:
Environmental Issu What is dominion?	es J Lovelock and A Næss J Lovelock and A Næss	4. Paul Taylor:
What is stewardship?	What is it?	
	Strengths:	Peter Singer's Utilitarian defence of animal rights:
Strengths of stewardship: 1.	2.	
2. Weaknesses of stewardship: 1	Weaknesses: 1.	
2.	2.	

Three concepts of equality:	Christian attitude to dis	sability rights:		Christian arguments FOR racial equality:
1.	<u>!</u>	:	1.	i 1.
;	! :	:	; !	I .
i	: i	· !	2.	i 2.
2.	i	į	1 2.	, 2. ,
!	İ	!	<u> </u>	; i
!	!	:	3.	i
	However	:	į	<u>;</u> 3.
3.	:	i	i	!
	i	i	Christian arguments AGAINST equal rights for women:	
What is intersectionality?	i	į	1. 1.	Christian arguments AGAINST racial equality:
what is intersectionality:	į	!	<u>;</u>	1.
;	!	!	į	i
i	! :	:		į
į.	:	į	. 2.	1 . 2
!	: i	i		1 ² '
	i	į	!	
	į	į	! 3.	<u> </u>
i i	!			Disability equality: Joni Eareckson Tada
i	!	quality		Key points:
			Martin Luther King and Joni Eareckson Tada	; -
Gender equality: Simone De Beauvoir	:	: Racial equality		i
Key points:	i		King – key points:	<u> </u>
-	i	į -	i	<u>!</u>
	į	1	į.	!
	į	1	!	Strengths:
-	<u> </u> 	:	!	; i
	!	i		i
	i	Malcom X – ke	y points:	. Weaknesses:
	i	į -	i	!
-	į	!	į	!
	!	1	!	
Strengths:	1	Strengths:	!	! Impact:
	!	i		
	;	i	;	Medical model of disability:
	i	Weaknesses:	į	
Weaknesses:	į	I .	į	i
	į	1 :	į	·
	!	Impact:	!	Social model of disability:
	!	i		! :
Impact:	;	i	;	1 :
	i	i	į	

Utilitarianism is	Define	Social and intellectual backgroun	nd		
Teleological:	Principle of utility:	The Enlightenment:	Political revolutions:	Industrial revolutions:	
Consequential:	al: Hedonism:				
Relativist:		! i			
Relativist.	i !	<u> </u>			
	<u> </u>	:			
Most happines	ss for most people			Utilitarianism	
Bentham's Act Utilitarianism	John Stuart Mill's higher and lower plea				
Hedonic Calculus:	Mill criticised Bentham:	hedonic calculus. Our ac			
	¦i	would lead to the great			
	i į	Strong vs weak utilitaria			
Seven categories:	So he distinguished between higher and	lower	!!		
1. 5.	pleasures:	; <u>;</u> ;			
2. 6.	<u> </u>	i			
3. 7.	i i	! }	Negative Utilita	rianism	
4.	Criticism of higher / lower pleasures:	The harm principle:	ļi		
Strengths of Bentham:	!!	11	<u> </u>		
	<u> </u>	ii	<u> </u>		
	;; ;;	ij			
	ij	ii			
	i Mill's response:		ļi.		
Weaknesses of Bentham:	::	: Strengths: Wea	ıknesses: I Ideal Utilitarian	iem	
	<u> </u>	I !	i ideal Otilitarian	iisiii	
	ii	<u> </u>			
	i į	!	<u> </u>		
	Bentham and Mill		i		
Impact of utilitarianism	General strengths	I I General weaknesses	··-·		
	1 1	i i	i į		
	i į	: :	j prezerenen		
	į i	; ;	! Historical and c	ontemporary application	
	<u> </u>	į i	<u> </u>		
	<u> </u>	į į	i		
	; ;	<u> </u>	i i		
	i i	; ;	į į		
	į į	; ;	<u> </u>		
		į į	; ;		

Situation Ethics is	Define	Social and intellectual background:	Biblical basis:
Teleological:	Situationalism:		
;		į i	; ;
į.		!!	į į
Consequential:	i		! [
!	i Agape:		! !
:	1	; ;	: :
Relativist:		į i	; ;
. I			Cituation Ethios
	What best	serves love?	Situation Ethics
J. A. T. Robinson – "Honest to God"	Fletcher: 'The New Morality'	; Fletcher's unique situations	Barclay's criticisms (anthology)
Key points:	Four presuppositions:	i 1.	i -
- i	1.	;	
i	<u>.</u> 2	;	
i	: 3. !		i - !
i	Six fundamental principles:	i 2.	i
į.	I 1	i i	
<u>.</u>	į [*]	į į	!
!	į į	i . 3.	
<u></u>	!	i	i i
How this impacted on Christian Ethics:	! 2.	!	
;	:		
	:	4.	<u> </u>
i	; ! 3	i	i
į	i	ii	
!	į	ļ,	
	!	Strengths	i
Robinson and Fletcher	! 4. !	<u>!</u> -	
Impact: Divorce Law	:	!	Weaknesses
<u>.</u>	;		į-
:	: i 5.	<u> </u>	į
į.	į	i	i !
	į į	i i	<u>i</u> -
i	!	į į	
į.	i 6.	!	! -
!	:	!	:
!	<u> </u>		i
!	1	<u> </u>	į ·
1	Christian responses	į	į į
i	į	i	<u>į.</u>
; [į	į į	<u> </u>
i	! i	<u>;</u> -	!
i i	1	· i	· 1

Natural Moral Law is Deontological:	Aristotle's influence:			Four steps to Aquinas' argument: 1.		
				· - - -		
Absolutist:				ı ¦ 2. : I ! ;		
	Biblical foundation: S	it Paul		; 		
Legalist:		<u> </u>		! ; ! . ! 4.		
; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;			Natural sense of what's right and wrong	!		
Aquinas' primary precepts?	What are secondar	y precepts?	What is an apparent good?	!! The ultimate aim is to flourish and re	ing and following of God's final purpose.	
2.	: :			! reason to derive and interpret the de	sign imprinted naturally by God.	
3.				Proportionalism: Hoose Key points:	Natural Moral Law	
4. 	Give two examples 1.		Application - How does it apply to abortion?			
How do we decide if something is morally right?	: !		Euthanasia?			
	; ; ; 2.					
	: ! :					
	! !			.		
Th	omas Aquinas	Weaknesses of NML	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	;		
Strengths of NML		- - -		i 	ct?	
	i i	! ! ! -				
-	 - 			Strength of Hoose:		
-	 	i i -				
	! ! !					
-	i	<u>:</u> -		Criticism of Hoose:		
	į	I				

Define war:	Just War Theory is based on the belief that, while life is sacred, it may, at times, be taken in order to maintain justice and to protect or defend the lives of others.	! 1.	Strengths of JWT:	Who are the Quakers?
Five explanations for war:	Augustine and Aquinas	3.	-	Problems with pacifism:
}	Jus ad bellum (when it's right to go to war):		Weaknesses of JWT:	1 -
2.		Criticism:	i -	į -
3.	Criticism:	!	¦ -	i i -
4.	2.		- !	i -
5.		Jus post bellum (restoring peace in a controlled	PACIFISM	; -
War in the Old Testament	Criticism:	manner)	· A pacifist is someone who is opposed	i i
Deuteronomy:	:	Philosopher:	to war and violence and believes that it is wrong to harm or kill other	
	; 3. !	5 principles: 1.	people. For them, killing is wrong and therefore war is wrong.	War and Peace
Joshua:	Criticism:	2.	1. Absolute pacifism:	
	4.	3.	2. Relative pacifism:	Reimhold Niebuhr argued
	<u> </u>	4.		<u> </u>
God's ultimate aim was	Criticism:	5.	3. Nuclear pacifism:	Modern issues / points for evaluation:
	5.	Strength:	4. Active pacifism:	
War in the New Testament		: Weakness:	!! 	!
Spiritual battle:	Criticism:	1 i	What is a conscientious objector?	į
į				1
-	. b. !	! Case Study: 2003 Iraq War – was it just?	į į	İ
Examples of peacemakers:	Criticism:		Biblical basis of pacifism:	:
	į.	į		i
Why are repentance and redemption	: ! 7.	;		
important?	<u> </u>	!	Descent to support positions	!
-	Criticism:	;	Reasons to support pacifism:	į
į		:		1
:	<u>:</u>	•	[4	į

Contraception Arguments for:	Pre-marital sex and promiscuity Arguments for:	Homosexuality Christian argument for:	! Analysis / evaluation – points of debate:
1.	1.	1.	! -
2.	2.	2.	
Arguments against: 1.	Arguments against:	• 3.	i - !
2.	2.	Christian argument against: 1.	
Christian argument for:	Christian argument for:	2.	1 - 1 1
Christian argument against:	Christian argument against:	3.	: ! ! -
	P Vardy and J Dominion	The law:	
			·-·-·
John Stuart Mill: Liberalism Key points:	Peter Vardy: utilitarian-transactional model Key points:	! Jack Dominion: re-thinking the Catholic attitude ! Key points:	Sexual Ethics
-	:		Are religious teachings on sexual relationships still
	:		relevant?
_	:	i i - i i	: 1 : 1 ! :
	What does he mean by utilitarian relationship?	What does he think about the natural law view of sex?	
			1 : : 1 : : : 1
-	I . What does he think about contraception? I	How should the Church revise its views?	; i ; ; ; !
What is the harm principle?	; ; ; ; ; !		i ; ! ; NO:
	i ! Strengths:	Strengths:	1 : : 1 : : : 1
	1 ! : ! : !		; ; ; ; ; !
Criticism:	i : i ! i ! Weaknesses:	: 	
	:		i : : ! ! !
	i ! : L	1	<u> </u>

What is cognitivism?		G E Moore What is Intuitionism?	A J Ayer What is Emotivism?	The influence of logical positivism on emotivism:
What is non-cognitivism?	COGNITIVIST OR NON-COGNITIVIST? Strengths:	COGNITIVIST OR NON-COGNITIVIST? Pritchard's intuitionism:	COGNITIVIST OR NON-COGNITIVIST?	Ethical language as functional and persuasive:
What is realism?	Weaknesses:		What is the verification principle?	
What is anti-realism?		Strength: Weakness:	Stevenson's emotivism:	Richard Hare What is prescriptivism?
G E Moore and A J Ayer What is absolutism?	What is the naturalistic fallacy?	Ross' intuitionism:		
			Strength:	I. I. I. I. I. COGNITIVIST OR NON-COGNITIVIST?
What is relativism?	What is the is-ought gap?	Strength: Weakness:	Weakness: Strengths of emotivism:	Criticism:
		Strengths of intuitionism:		Impact and significance of the debate:
What is factual language?	What is the problem of the open question?		Weaknesses of emotivism:	
What is symbolic language?		Weaknesses of intuitionism:		: : : :
				Meta-ethics

What are the three views regarding the relationship between religion and morality:	What is the Euthyphro Dilemma?	Sharpe – the moral case against relig	ious belief	Nietzsche – master and slave morality "Master morality" is
1.				
2.	Both cause problems because:	! !		! ! ! "Slave morality" is
3.	I	! ! ! !		: Slave morality is I
What is Divine Command Ethics?		: ! !		
: 	-	What is atheism?	Bertrand Russell:	Implications:
	Socrates' response:			
Strengths:	! !			Dawkins' opposition to religion:
Weaknesses:	Responses to the Euthyphro Dilemma Robert Adams:	i i		
Weakinesses.				
What is theonomy?	William Lane Craig:	What is autonomy?	James Rachels:	
i				Strengths and weaknesses:
	Kierkegaard:			
What is the Quiverfull movement?		What is heteronomy?		Religion and terror: analysis
	John Habgood:			
į	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	i 	
What is the Westboro Baptist Church?	Kant's moral argument for the existence of God:		! Flew:	
i i	! : !	į		
Analysis of the consumerates	! : ! !			The veletienship between
Analysis of these movements:	: :	į		The relationship between
R Dawki	ns and R A Sharpe	<u> </u>		religion and morality

What is deontology?	Good	d will:	First fo	rmulation of <i>the</i> categorical tive:	Secon	nd formulation of <i>the</i> categorical rative:	 Third formulation of <i>the</i> categor imperative:	ical !	Critique: Singer
Rationalism:				 	! !			: : : : : :	; ! ! ! !
	What	t is the importance of duty?	. i . i		:		;; ;; ;; ;;	i	i :
Summum Bonum:	į		: : ! !	! : !			.1 	: :	
į	į		! ! ! ! ! !	į	!		h G	:	1 : :
Synthetic a priori:	 				!		5 5	! ! !	! !
	!			į	! : !		5 5	! : !	! !
	!		 !! !!	:	į		 	i	
	۔ . ـ . ـ ـ ؛ – ، – ، -		· <u>-</u> . <u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	Strengths of deontology:	 		ion in history:
What are the social and historical influences?	į	W. D. Ross – Prima Facie duties	i	Kant, Ross and Na	gel		į	. //pp://eur -	
	:	! 1. !	!	Thomas Nagel:	· - · - · :	! :	! !	; !	
	! : !	i 2.		: !	;	: !	! : !	! ! !	
	į	3.	!	! : !	:	! : !	; ;	 - 	
	į	. 4. !	i	i : :	!	I I	į	Applicat	tion: treatment of animals
	;	; 5. ! : 6	į	! :	i	! !		I	
What is a hypothetical imperative?		0. - 	į	: ! :	; !	!	!	: !	
	!	I '' I Explain:	:	! ! !	:	Weaknesses of deontology:		! : ! :	
	į	I I	:	! : !	!	! :	 	 - 	
	j	i	į	; ; ;	į	: !	i i	; =:=:=::	=:=:=:=:=:=:=:=:=:=:=:=:=:=:=:=:=:
What is a categorical imperative?	-·-·-		į	! :	į	! !	į	Applicat	tion: war and peace
	:		: !	; !	:	I I	; i	!	
	į	! : !	!	•	!	! !	!	: !	
	j	Ĺ	!	! ! !	 - 	! :	 - 	! ! !	
Strengths of Ross	i ! ,	Weaknesses of Ross	!	! !	i : !	! ! !	: ! !	! : !	
				! :	!	! ! !			
	- ; ;		! :	! !	:	: !	Works of s	cho	ars: Kant's deontology

What is virtue ethics?	What is Eudaimonia?	What is prudence (phronesis)?	Anscombe:	Contemporary application: treatment of animals
i i	What is the purpose of life?	Why is prudence required?	Philippa Foot:	
What is the historical and cultural			i i	Contemporary application: war and peace
context?				Contemporary application. war and peace
i i				
i i			!	
į	i	i!		
į	What is the doctrine of the mean?	P Foot and A MacIntyre		:
į		,	Analysis:	Strengths of virtue ethics:
!		What are virtuous role models?		! -
What are intellectual virtues?	 	; ;	<u> </u>	} -
			Alastair MacIntyre:	
		: What are some problems with virtuous		
What are moral virtues?		role models?		
i i		;		<u>:</u>
į				<u></u>
: : :	·			Weaknesses:of virtue ethics:
Give four examples of virtues, with their rele	vant vices of excess and deficiency:			-
		What is the historical context of the 20th	Analysis:	<u> </u>
		century revival of virtue ethics?		
	i	; ;	<u> </u>	
	i	;	Works of scholars:	
	!		Aristotelian Virtue Ethics	<u> </u>
		! :		!

Sanctity of life:	Sanctity of life argument against abortion:	Why is ensoulment problematic?	Why is situation ethics problematic?
;	1 1. :	!	
į	i	<u>.</u>	;;
Quality of life:	2.	! :	ii
i	: !	I I	
į	· I I g. :	; i	ii
Autonomy:		I	<u>ii</u>
!	: :	į	ŢŢ.
	: 		
Rights:	Catholic Church – against abortion using Natural Law:	· Singer	Judith Thomson !
i	I	Singer What is satisfaction of preferences?	What is the analogy of the violin player?
į	i		
Responsibilities:	<u> </u>		!
!	!	; i	!
!	!	i ji	
Ensoulment:	<u> </u>	į į	
į	!	i	How does this apply to abortion?
I	: !	1 •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Personhood:	i	How does Singer defend abortion using utilitarian	
	i	satisfaction of preferences?	
!	!	: 	;
	!	i ii	· !
	<u>!</u>	į	
Abortion law in the UK:	Singer and Thomson	!	<u> </u>
		!	A non-religious argument in favour of sanctity of life – Glover:
i	Liberal Christian – Situation Ethics in favour of abortion:		! i
į	į i		
!	!	Evaluation and impact of Singer:	
!	!	i j	! !
;	!	!	! :
;	:	!	
i	i	!	
	į		<u> </u>
Biblical passages supporting sanctity of life:	į	: :	Cord blood – ethical issues:
ļ	!	i i	1.
į	!	i į	: : !
i		i	; ;
i	:		i i
į.	; !	Medical ethics: beginning	2.
!	į		!
!	į	of life	! :
¦	!		<u> </u>
! ;	Li		

Define Voluntary euthanasia: Non-voluntary euthanasia: Involuntary euthanasia: Active euthanasia:	Catholic view on euthanasia Breaks two precepts (NML): 1. 2. What is the slippery slope argument? Which types of euthanasia does this rule out?	Liberal Christian view – Fletcher, Situation Ethics Medically assisted suicide and voluntary euthanasia would be accepted because With non-voluntary euthanasia, Fletcher is much more controversial – baby with downs syndrome: It all comes down to our definition of 'personhood'.	Singer's utilitarian defence of voluntary eut 1. 2. 4. 5.	thanasia:	Singer quote: "The desire among the citizens of modern democracies for control over how they die is growing. This marks a sharp turning away from the sanctity of life ethic". In its place, we are turning towards an ethic based on considerations about quality of life and autonomy. Singer and Glover
Passive euthanasia:		Would Fletcher's views be accepted now?	Problem with permitting voluntary Singer's response euthanasia		
Assisted dying: Palliative care:	Is there an argument that might support non-voluntary euthanasia in the Catholic tradition? Proportionalism:	Analysis of religious view What's more important, sanctity of life or quality of life?			
What is the UK law on euthanasia?	What is the doctrine of double effect?	Who's theory is more flexible, Aquinas or Fletcher?			
Key dates:	How could this apply to euthanasia?	Is Proportionalism convincing?	Glover's secular defence of voluntary euthanasia: 1.		Analysis of secular view:
2006:	Medical ethics: end of life	Glover quote: 'voluntary euthanasia is justified in those cases where we know that the person would commit suicide if he could, and where we believe that the conditions that would make it	2.		
Fletcher writes The Sanctity (what makes it precious) is not in life itself, intrinsically; it is only extrinsic according to the situation. Compared to some things, the taking of life is a small evil. Death is not always an enemy; it can sometimes be a friend and servant. Life is sometimes good and death is sometimes good, because of			3. For Glover there is no difference between assisted suicide		
circumstances, because of the context. When it is not good, it deserves neither protection nor preservation Let the law favour living, not mere life.			and voluntary euthanasia.		